

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,439	11/13/2003	J. Conrad Vogel	5658-979	8715	
24239 7	590 12/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC P.O. BOX 13706 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2009	DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action		10/712,439	VOGEL, J. CONRA	∤ D			
Before the Filing of an Appea	al Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appo	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrospondonos ada	lroop.			
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2005 FAILS				7055			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or							
(3) a Request for Continued Examination following time periods:	(RCE) in compl	lance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	y must be filed withir	one of the			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13			and the appropriate out	oncion foo havo			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter							
(c) They are not deemed to place the a appeal; and/or				the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _ the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed am 			-	•			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed am how the new or amended claims would be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) a 	e rejected is prov	vided below or appended.	III be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:		alf					
Claim(s) objected to:		Allan N. Shoap					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _		Supervisory Patent Examiner					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	 •	Group 3700					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after because applicant failed to provide a short provide as the second provide as the s	wing of good and	it before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary			
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has bee	n considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment of claims 1(see lines7-10 and 11-14), 26(see lines 5-10) and 33(see lines 5-11 and 16-22) is a new issue that requires further consideration.